

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,486	04/12/2001	Wolfgang Hubinger	20541 IUS0PCT	5934
22850	7590 04/27/2004	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TRAN, LEN	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/806,486	HUBINGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Len Tran	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE   - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ap	<u>ril 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowan			nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 12-28 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 12-23 and 26-28 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty-documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d-in-this-National-St	age			
Attachma-t	(c)						
Attachment 1) 🕅 Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (	PTO-413\				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te				
3) 🔀 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 1725

1.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12, 17, 22, 23, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sontag (US 1,749,266).

Sontag discloses a countercurrent stripping pipe capable for removing volatile organic comprising a column pipe composed of plurality of pipe sections connected to one another by flange connections, in which pipe section trays are arranged, a plurality of successive trays being connected to one another to form at least two separate inserts which can be moved from the column pipe, first connections for supplying and removing the reaction products, and second connections for supplying at least one stripping agent in countercurrent to the reaction products. Sontag further teaches diameter of the trays of one insert corresponds substantially to an internal diameter of the column pipe. A widened column top including an aperture which can be closed and whose diameter is configured to permit removal of the inserts. The inserts stand on top of one another in the column pipe (figures and page 2, lines 125 – page 3, line 115).

Art Unit: 1725

2 -

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 13-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sontag (US '266), and further in view of Leva (US 3,928,513).

Sontag discloses the claimed invention above, but lacks the mentioning of wherein 10 inserts and each insert has at least 3-10 trays, and diameter of the insert is from 100 to 2500 mm. However, Sontag discloses four inserts to be within the column pipe. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have more than four inserts, depending on the need and energy required to perform the reaction. Therefore, the number of inserts would have depend on the material reacted within the apparatus.

Art Unit: 1725

In addition, Leva discloses the number of plates per stack and the diameter of each plate within 100 to 2500 mm in diameter (col. 4, lines 21-32) for the purpose of reducing the time required for assembling the plates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide plates having diameter between 100 to 2500 mm as taught by Leva, in Sontag in order to reduce time for assembling the plates.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 24 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior arts of record fail to teach an internal diameters of successive pipe sections decrease from top to bottom and a removable insert is arranged in each pipe section.

#### Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT April 19, 2004 Kiley Stoner AU 1725 My Stone 4/21/04